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Abstract - Present study identifies the main CO₂ emissions in production processes for forest management company JSC "Latvijas valsts mezi". The goal of calculations was to precisely determine CO₂ emissions for the company and approximately for outsourcing. It was found that the largest amount of JSC "Latvijas valsts mezi" company’s outsourcing CO₂ emissions in production processes are for forest infrastructure development, supply of wood assortment and roundwood harvesting. The major amount of JSC "Latvijas valsts mezi" company’s CO₂ emissions is in the Forest Management unit and in the Seeds and Plants unit.

Keywords - carbon footprint, CO₂ emissions, forestry management, production processes.

I. INTRODUCTION

In terms of climate, forests act as carbon sinks because of photosynthesis, trees remove CO₂ from the atmosphere by an accumulation of carbon and releasing oxygen. In a sustainably managed forest, carbon storage never stops, as new trees replace harvested ones. It is possible to find many studies [1,2] about carbon storage in forest and wood products, while the studies about CO₂ emissions in forestry are very few, except emissions from forest bioenergy production are widely described [3].

The term “carbon footprint” has appeared in the last ten years. It is a measure of the greenhouse gas emissions associated with an activity, group of activities or a product [4]. JSC "Latvijas valsts mezi" (hereinafter – LVM) pursues state interests in forestry by sustaining and increasing the forest value, while gaining maximum possible value from forest management in Latvia. Along with timber as its major product, the company also develops other lines of business, such as the production of genetically improved forest tree seeds and plants, hunting and game management, and recreational services. LVM provides its customers with sawlogs, veneer logs, industrial wood, pulpwood, and fuelwood. LVM supplies fuel chips to produce green energy in Latvia and abroad. LVM offers sand, gravel, as well as peat for excavation.

One of the company’s strategic objectives is to reduce the impact of its economic activities on the environment. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions generated from forest operations is one of the factors which impacts environment.

The company started research of forestry management influence on CO₂ emissions and removals in trees, soil, wooden products, but CO₂ emissions are also from the process of forest management: the consumption of fuel and electricity, heating offices and so on. Therefore, the company has set a goal - to develop the evaluation methodology for CO₂ emissions in production processes also known as "CO₂ footprint".

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodological approach which was described in the Greenhouse Gas Protocol [5] has been adapted to LVM specific nature in CO₂ emissions determination. The emissions obtained from the company activities have been assessed. The complex of the method consists of four stages:

1. Establishment of the assessment boundaries;
2. Choosing a base year;
3. Collection of data;
4. Calculation of emissions using appropriate local or international documents where emission factors are determined.

The establishment of assessment boundaries means to clearly determine what would be included in the calculations and how detailed the calculation would be, for example, for the whole organization or just one unit. The three scopes provide an accounting framework according to the above mentioned standard:

Scope 1: Direct greenhouse gas emissions:
- Fuel combustion;
- Fugitive & process emissions.

Scope 2: Electricity indirect greenhouse gas emissions:
- Purchased electricity, heat or steam for own use.

Scope 3: Other indirect greenhouse gas emissions:
- Employee business travel;
- Contractor owned vehicles;
- Outsourced activities;
- Production of purchased materials;
- Waste disposal.

Figure 1 completely covers scopes 1 and 2 in LVM calculations for 2011 as well as part of scope 3 (employee business travel, transport services and emissions from receiving services).

The company also includes related companies such as Ltd. "Jaunmoku pilis" (100%) and Ltd. "Meža un koksnes produktu pētniecības un attīstības institūts" (44.22%), however these have not been included in GHG calculations.
The goal of calculations is to precisely determine CO₂ emissions for LVM and approximately for outsourcing mainly estimated fuel consumption for 2011. LVM company emissions were also calculated for the years 2010 and 2009.

The company has chosen to make the calculations for eliminated main product and services directions, forestry management structuring into five sections:

- Seeds and plants;
- Management of mineral resources;
- Real estate;
- Forest management:
  - Roundwood harvesting;
  - Supply of fuel chips;
  - Supply of wood assortment;
  - Silviculture;
  - Forest infrastructure development;
- Services in recreation and hunting.

Special spreadsheets were created to calculate emissions for the above mentioned units.

All emissions for each calculation unit are divided in two parts - the company’s emissions and outsourcing emissions (see Fig. 2). The Seeds and Plants unit has no outsourcing emissions. Outsourcing emissions mainly consist of fuel combustion. LVM has 96% of outsourcing emissions and 4% of their own GHG emissions.

Other greenhouse gases are not assessed separately in these calculations for example N₂O, CH₄, HFC etc. is the calculations concentrated only on CO₂ emissions and if there were such other gases, they were converted into CO₂ equivalents already in an emission factor.

Data on consumed resources were collected from invoices in LVM, but the emissions from services were retrieved from contracts or internal calculations for the year 2011. Fuel costs are estimated from the contracts according to service prices, which are set in the contracts. These are fuel cost impact coefficients. LVM pays a set price per service and an estimate on what percentage of that price is delineated for fuel costs. Those fuel costs are divided by the average fuel price that year, to get the litres. In cases where the kind of fuel is not known, it is assumed that diesel and gasoline have been used in similar proportions, i.e. 50% of each. It was assumed that the diesel consumption for a harvester of 0.96 litres/ m³ for clear cut, 1.37 litres/ m³ for sanitary cut, 1.71 litres/ m³ for thinning and for a forwarder of 1.21 litres/ m³ for clear cut, 1.42 litres/ m³ for sanitary cut, 1.82 litres/ m³ for thinning. The average fuel consumption is assumed as 52l/100km for timber deliveries.

The volumes and the amount of money for outsourcing service providers were taken from the budgets of the LVM (Microstrategy) for 2011. Data from the Central Statistical Bureau of Latvia were used in the estimation of average fuel costs.

The CO₂ emissions were calculated as indicated in equation (1).

\[ E_{\text{CO}_2} = D \cdot e \]  

(1)

Selected data for the calculation (D) are summarized in the corresponding units and multiplied by an emission factor (e), resulting in CO₂ emissions (E_{\text{CO}_2}). The emission factor represents how much CO₂ is emitted into the atmosphere by consuming 1 unit of energy or material. In this study six emission factors were represented for electricity, gas, freon and fuel. The two fuel emission factors were most used for diesel – 2.63 CO₂ eqv./liter and for gasoline - 2.315 CO₂ eqv./liter in these calculations. The emission factors selected from recognised original sources such as 2011 Guidelines to Defra DECC’s and GHG Conversion Factors for Company reporting or local government documents.

As forests have an important role in capturing and storing carbon, the LVM managed forests carbon exchange was compared with its forest management activities within one year. Latvian State Forest Research Institute "Silava" Excel spread sheets were used to estimate carbon sinks in living biomass, soil and emissions from logging, soil and fires using the methodology of the greenhouse gas sinks in living in the Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry sector.

**III. RESULTS**

During the last three years, the amount of the use of resources has increased in LVM, despite the fact that the cut
volume had decreased to 0.2 million m$^3$ in 2011, which can be explained with the production volume increase in the Seeds and Plants unit. The amount of CO$_2$ emissions were 5747 CO$_2$ tonnes in 2009, 6064 CO$_2$ tonnes in 2010, but in 2011 - 6468 CO$_2$ tonnes.

The greatest resource consumption in CO$_2$ equivalents in the company in 2011 was for diesel – 59%, gasoline - 33%, but less for electricity 4% and gas consumption - 4%. LVM is increasing the proportion of renewable resources which the company uses for daily maintenance – in 2010 it was 6%, but in 2011 it was already 16%.

Using Latvian State Forest Research Institute "Silava" Excel spread sheets, the carbon savings increase in the living biomass was calculated as 13 million tonnes CO$_2$ equivalents in LVM managed forests. While emissions from logging (carbon loses in the living biomass) is 7.6 million tonnes CO$_2$ equivalents. On this background CO$_2$ emissions from LVM production processes are 0.1 million tonnes CO$_2$ equivalents using outsourcing in the company product production (see Fig. 3.), but LVM company's emissions are very small. The emissions from outsourcing providers are 1% from the carbon savings in the living biomass, 2% from emissions as a result of logging or 3% from total carbon balance - emissions and removals from the forest which is 5267 GgCO$_2$ (1 Gg is 1000000 kg CO$_2$) equivalents.

This could be explained due to the high amount of machinery use in these business sectors. While the Silviculture unit has only 3% emissions due to the work which is conducted by hand in this unit, but Supply of fuel chips unit has only 2% emissions due to small production amounts (obtained just in 20% from total felling area) and effective logistic.

In the Swedish study [7], energy use calculated in seedling production, silviculture, logging and timber transportation were 150-200 MJ/m$^3$ of timber depending on the locality. Timber transportation gives an energy use of 113-77 MJ, silviculture 16-3 MJ, seedling production 9-5 MJ, logging 66-57 MJ (cutting operations and forwarding). All LVM fuel consumption amount in litres were converted in MJ for year 2011, timber transportation gives an energy use of 110 MJ, silviculture 5 MJ, seedling production 3 MJ, logging 89 MJ (cutting operations and forwarding) at the felling volume 5741500 m$^3$ per year. It brings together 207 MJ, which is similar to the result of the calculations presented in the Swedish study. LVM has less emission from seedling production, silviculture compared with Swedish results, but more for logging and timber transportation. This could be explained due to which exists between these two countries in forwarding distance, worse road surface/foundation, curvature and smaller road density.

In accordance with the Kyoto Protocol [6], Latvia’s national inventory reports emissions from fuel uses in the amount of 3222 Gg CO$_2$ in 2010. The emissions from LVM’s outsourcing providers, which are mainly fuel consumption, in the total amount of Latvia’s fuel emissions is 5% or 146 Gg CO$_2$.

In 2011 the analysis of LVM’s outsourcing emissions showed that the largest amount of CO$_2$ emissions in production processes are for (see Fig. 4)

- Forest infrastructure development (47.98 Gg);
- Supply of wood assortment (46.61 Gg);
- Roundwood harvesting (41.81 Gg).

One average tree in LVM stands is 0.26 m$^3$ which corresponds to 0.34 tonnes CO$_2$ equivalents respectively 1 m$^3$ is 1300 kg CO$_2$ e equivalents. Forests absorb carbon dioxide, and thus the CO$_2$ footprint for 1 m$^3$ of round wood is negative throughout the production cycle. One m$^3$ of round wood reduces CO$_2$ by - 1273 CO$_2$ kg in 2011 (see Fig. 5). In a study about greenhouse gases storage and emission for company J.D. Irving, Limited in Northeast North America, it was determined that 22 kg of CO$_2$e were emitted per 1 m$^3$ of wood delivered to the mill gate (harvesting, transporting) [7]. While In LVM, however, for similar activities 16.2 kg of CO$_2$e were emitted per 1 m$^3$ of wood. Difference in results could be due to transportation distances.
The CO₂ emissions for 1 m³ of harvested round wood from LVM itself is 1 kg CO₂, but the emissions from outsourcing providers in production processes is 26 kg CO₂ in 2011.

The largest amount of LVM’s outsourcing CO₂ emissions in production processes are for forest infrastructure development (47.98 Gg), supply of wood assortment (46.61 Gg) and roundwood harvesting (41.81 Gg) in 2011.

The major amount of LVM company’s CO₂ emissions is in the forest management unit (3.6 Gg CO₂) and in the Seeds and Plants unit (1 Gg CO₂) in 2011.

The greatest resource consumption in CO₂ equivalents was for diesel– 59%, gasoline -33% in LVM in 2011.

Emissions by scope are as follows: Scope 1: 5973 tonnes of CO₂, Scope 2: 470 tonnes of CO₂, Scope 3: 145952 tonnes of CO₂.
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The increase of carbon storage in living biomass is 13 million CO₂ eq. in LVM managed forests, while the emissions from LVM management is 0.1 million tonnes of CO₂ eq. or 1% of the increase in carbon storage in living biomass.

The emissions created by LVM itself are 6.5 Gg CO₂, but the emissions from outsourcing providers in production processes are 146 Gg CO₂ in 2011.

The main CO₂ emission source is fuel in forest management and thus activities to reduce fuel consumption reduction should be introduced in that unit. This could accomplished through the optimization of transportation distances and use of machinery with lower fuel consumption levels. Such activities would save money, consumption of resource and reduce the negative impact on the environment

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The CO₂ footprint for 1 m³ of round wood from LVM managed forests and outsourcing emissions in forest management in 2011.

Analysing LVM company’s CO₂ emissions of production processes, the largest amount of CO₂ emissions are produced by the Forest Management unit and the second-largest - by the Seeds and Plants unit (see Fig. 6). Forest management is the core LVM business, and the Seeds and Plants unit implements seed and plant growing with its own resources, without using outsourcing services. Within the Forest Management unit, roundwood harvesting and silviculture produce the highest CO₂ emissions, which can be explained with the intense use of cars for administration purposes to control the processes in the forest.

Fig. 5. The CO₂ footprint for 1 m³ of round wood from LVM managed forests and outsourcing emissions in forest management in 2011.

Fig. 6. LVM’s own emissions in 2011
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